

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/823,941	WOLF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaj K. Olsen	1753	

All Participants:

(1) Kaj K. Olsen.

(2) Michael Klicpera.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 11 and 22-24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative on 6-2-2006 to suggest an amendment that render all the claims free of the prior art. The applicant's representative contacted the examiner on 6-5-2006 to approve of the changes with a modification that was approved by the examiner. The applicant's representative also generously emailed the examiner with a copy of the proposed amendment to the claims, which the examiner has incorporated into the enclosed examiner's amendment . .